

# SCOTT RIVER WATERSHED-WIDE PERMITTING PROGRAM

Draft Environmental Impact Report

Prepared for  
 California Department  
of Fish and Game

October 2008



# SCOTT RIVER WATERSHED-WIDE PERMITTING PROGRAM

## Draft Environmental Impact Report

Prepared for  
 California Department  
of Fish and Game

October 2008

225 Bush Street  
Suite 1700  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
415.896.5900  
[www.esassoc.com](http://www.esassoc.com)

Los Angeles

Oakland

Olympia

Petaluma

Portland

Sacramento

San Diego

Seattle

Tampa

Woodland Hills

206063

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

# Scott River Watershed-Wide Permitting Program Draft Environmental Impact Report

	<u>Page</u>
<b>Glossary .....</b>	<b>.v</b>
Acronyms Used in the EIR .....	xiii
<b>S. Summary .....</b>	<b>S-1</b>
S.1 Introduction.....	S-1
S.2 Background .....	S-1
S.3 Summary Program Description.....	S-3
S.4 Summary of Impacts.....	S-5
S.5 Summary of Alternatives.....	S-5
S.6 Areas of Controversy.....	S-7
<b>1. Introduction.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 Proposed Program .....	1-1
1.2 Environmental Review of the Program .....	1-3
1.3 Documents Attached and Incorporated by Reference in Draft EIR.....	1-7
1.4 Organization of the Draft EIR.....	1-8
<b>2. Project Description .....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 Program Overview .....	2-1
2.2 Conditions in the Proposed MLTC .....	2-14
2.3 Conditions in the Proposed ITP .....	2-14
<b>3. Environmental Setting, Impacts, and Mitigation Measures.....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1 Land Use and Agriculture .....	3.1-1
3.2 Geomorphology, Hydrology, and Water Quality .....	3.2-1
3.3 Biological Resources: Fisheries and Aquatic Habitat .....	3.3-1
3.4 Biological Resources: Botany, Wildlife, and Wetlands.....	3.4-1
3.5 Cultural Resources .....	3.5-1
3.6 Hazards and Hazardous Materials .....	3.6-1
3.7 Public Utilities, Service Systems, and Energy .....	3.7-1
<b>4. Cumulative Effects and Other Required Topics.....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1 Cumulative Impacts .....	4-1
4.2 Cumulative Impacts and Mitigation Measures .....	4-28
4.3 Growth-Inducement .....	4-37
4.4 Significant and Irreversible Environmental Changes .....	4-38

	<u>Page</u>
<b>5. Alternatives to the Program .....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1 Alternatives Considered but Rejected .....	5-2
5.2 Alternatives Considered in the EIR .....	5-6
5.3 Environmentally Superior Alternative.....	5-14
<b>6. Draft EIR Authors, Persons and Organizations Contacted .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
6.1 Lead Agency Authors .....	6-1
6.2 EIR Consultants.....	6-2
6.3 Persons and Organizations Consulted .....	6-2

## Appendices

A. Incidental Take Permit .....	A-1
B. Streambed Alteration Agreement MOU and Master List of Terms and Conditions. ....	B-1
C. Notice of Preparation .....	C-1
D. Initial Study .....	D-1
E. Scoping Comments .....	E-1
F. Long-Profiles of the Scott River and Selected Tributaries.....	F-1

## List of Figures

S-1 Program Area.....	S-2
2-1 Program Area.....	2-2
2-2 Location of Mitigation Projects Proposed as Part of the Program .....	2-17
3.1-1 Regional Setting – Siskiyou County .....	3.1-2
3.1-2 Shasta Valley Enterprise Zone .....	3.1-5
3.1-3 Composition of Important Farmland in the Scott River Watershed.....	3.1-13
3.1-4 Farmland under Williamson Act Contract in the Scott River Watershed.....	3.1-15
3.1-5 Water Source for Irrigated Crop Lands in the Scott River Watershed .....	3.1-18
3.1-6 Irrigation Method for Irrigated Crop Lands in Scott River Watershed .....	3.1-19
3.2-1 Annual Precipitation at Fort Jones, CA (Water Years 1936–2006).....	3.2-3
3.2-2 Scott River Watershed Landslides .....	3.2-7
3.2-3 Scott River Valley Groundwater Basin .....	3.2-9
3.2-4 Scott River Subwatersheds .....	3.2-23
3.2-5 Mean Monthly Flow of the Scott River, USGS Gage No. 11519500 (WY 1942-2005) .....	3.2-26
3.2-6 Daily Flow of the Scott River for WY 2005 (USGS Gage No. 11519500).....	3.2-27
3.2-7 Scott River Flow Durations (USGS Gage No. 11519500) .....	3.2-29
3.2-8 Scott River Dry Period Flow Durations .....	3.2-30
3.2-9 Scott and Salmon Rivers Normalized Dry Period Flow Duration Curves.....	3.2-31
3.2-10 Scott River Monthly Discharge Comparison (July through November), Selected Periods.....	3.2-33
3.2-11 Scott River Watershed Water Temperatures.....	3.2-35
3.2-12 October Daily Average Flows for the Scott River Near Fort Jones (Water Years 2000–2005) .....	3.2-56
3.3-1 Scott River Watershed .....	3.3-3
3.3-2 Seasonal Presence of Coho Salmon Life Cycle Stages in California Coastal Watersheds .....	3.3-6
3.3-3 Coho Salmon Distribution within the Scott River Watershed .....	3.3-11

	<u>Page</u>
<b>List of Figures (continued)</b>	
3.3-4 Relative Abundances of Juvenile Coho Salmon in French Creek, 1992-2005 .....	3.3-13
3.3-5 Scott River Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Run-Size Estimates, 1978-2006.....	3.3-16
3.4-1 Vegetation/Habitat Types in the Scott Valley and Vicinity .....	3.4-5
3.4-2 CNDBB-Recorded Species in the Scott Valley and Vicinity .....	3.4-9
3.4-3 Potential Jurisdictional Wetlands in the Scott Valley and Vicinity .....	3.4-25
3.7-1 Scott Valley Irrigation Ditches .....	3.7-3

**List of Tables**

S-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures for the Scott River Watershed-Wide Permitting Program.....	S-8
3.1-1 Agricultural Production in Siskiyou County (2005 & 2006) .....	3.1-7
3.1-2 Field Crop Acreages and Production Value in Siskiyou County (2006).....	3.1-8
3.1-3 Farmland Conversion from 2002–2004 in Siskiyou County.....	3.1-11
3.1-4 Current Composition of Important Farmland in the Scott River Watershed....	3.1-12
3.1-5 Farmland Under Williamson Act Contract in the Scott River Watershed .....	3.1-14
3.1-6 Irrigated Agricultural Acreage – Scott River Watershed, 1958 – 2000 .....	3.1-16
3.1-7 Crop Acreages by Irrigation Method and Water Source – Scott River Watershed (2000) .....	3.1-17
3.1-8 Agricultural Water Use – Scott River Watershed (2000) .....	3.1-20
3.2-1 Scott River Watershed Sediment Source Summary Used for TMDL.....	3.2-13
3.2-2 Summary of Allotments from Schedules B through D of the Scott River Decree (1980).....	3.2-20
3.2-3 Summary of Allotments from the French Creek Judgment (1958) .....	3.2-21
3.2-4 Summary of Allotments from the Shackleford Creek Decree (1950) .....	3.2-21
3.2-5 Water Utilization in the Scott River Watershed, 1958 and 2000 .....	3.2-25
3.2-6 Beneficial Uses in the Scott River Hydrologic Area .....	3.2-46
3.2-7 Definitions of Beneficial Uses of Surface Waters .....	3.2-47
3.2-8 Proposed 2006 CWA, Section 303(d) List of Water Quality Limited Segments in the Program Area .....	3.2-48
3.3-1 Year, Dates of Operation, and Counts of Early Returning Coho Salmon Observed at the Scott River Weir Operated by CDFG.....	3.3-9
3.3-2 Scott River Watershed Coho Spawner Survey Results of Redd and Carcass Counts for the 2001–2002 through 2007–2008 Spawning Seasons.....	3.3-10
3.3-3 Yearly Scott River Watershed Coho Population Estimates Based on Outmigrant Trapping Surveys.....	3.3-13
3.4-1 Species Reported in the California Natural Diversity Database for All Program and Adjacent USGS Quadrangles .....	3.4-11
3.4-2 Special-Status Species Analyzed for Impacts within the Program Area .....	3.4-16
3.6-1 Federal and State Laws and Regulations Regarding Hazardous Materials .....	3.6-3
3.7-1 Power Consumption and Emissions from Pumps.....	3.7-16
3.7-2 Estimated Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	3.7-17
3.7-3 Carbon Sequestration from Reforestation .....	3.7-19
4-1 Summary of CDFG-Tracked Activities in the Bed, Banks, and Channel of the Scott River Watershed (2002–June 2008).....	4-9
4-2 CDFG-Funded Fisheries Restoration Grant Program Instream and Upslope Projects in the Scott River Watershed (2002-2007).....	4-14

	<u>Page</u>
<b>List of Tables (continued)</b>	
4-3 CDFG Klamath River Restoration Grant Program Projects in the Scott River Watershed (FY 2006/2007) .....	4-16
4-4 Recently Completed and Ongoing SQRCD Projects (2005-2008) .....	4-19
4-5 Scott River Watershed Projects Funded by USFWS Klamath Restoration Program (2001–2008).....	4-23
5-1 Impacts and Significance Levels of Alternatives in Comparison with the Program.....	5-15
5-2 Ability of the Program and Alternatives to Meet Program Objectives .....	5-18